SMITHGROUP JJR landscape architecture planning urban design civil engineering environmental science

MEETING MINUTES

East Carolina University Comprehensive Master Plan www.jjr-us.com Page 1 of 1

East Carolina University	26472.j00	04.08.2009	04.24.2009
PROJECT	PROJECT NO.	MEETING DATE	ISSUE DATE
Willis Building		Architectural Guidelines Focus Group	
MEETING LOCATION		MEETING PURPOSE	
Clare Jagenow			
ISSUED BY		SIGNATURE	
PARTICIPANT		COMPANY/TITLE	
Chris Brasier		SmithGroup-Principal	
Mark Potter		SmithGroup- Project Manager	
Neal Kessler		JJR- Principal, Campus Planner	
Clare Jagenow		JJR- Site Designer, Project Manager	
David Batie		Associate Professor, C Management	Construction
Meg Bianco		Student, Human Ecology	
John Gill		Landscape Architect	ygy
Devin Livi		Landscape Architect	
Mark Tipton		Board of Trustees Mei	mhor
Gary Vanderpoll		Executive Associate V	
Gina Shoemaker		Engineer, Facilities En Architectural Services	ngineering &
William Bagnell	liam Bagnell "Assoc. V		or, Campus Operations
Bill Koch		Assoc. Vice Chancellor, Environmental	
		'Assistant Vice Chancellor, Administration & Finance	

MEETING NOTES

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss the issues, priorities and needs in regards to the architectural guidelines and landscape standards for the East Carolina campuses.

Past Standards

- Old standards are too loose, not prescriptive enough
- Project team should review the design standards and the community involvement from the previous master plan (Visual Listening process)
- It was suggested that the design team hold charettes to review existing design palettes

Future Vision

- All campuses need to be uniform in standards
- Campuses must act like a family and have commonality and connectivity, but not appear to be in a "cookie cutter" format

SMITHGROUP JJR landscape architecture planning urban design civil engineering environmental science

MEETING MINUTES

East Carolina University Comprehensive Master Plan www.jjr-us.com Page 2 of 2

Architecture

- Future architectural standards need to be prescriptive, but not so much so that they
 define specific brick for a building (for example). There is a need to maintain a some
 architectural freedom and creativity
- Scale is important on each campus
- LEED standards (Senate Bill 668) will affect future buildings, but character should be maintained
- Building placement needs to be considered within context of campus fabric and how it relates programmatically to surroundings
- The option of building resurfacing (façade improvements) needs to be considered and the associated potential cost impacts

Landscape and Site Improvements

- There needs to be standards for parking, drives, and roads, sidewalks
- Project team needs to meet individually with the University landscape architects
- Spaces between buildings need to be integrated in to architecture
- There is the desire to create a lasting first impression of prospective students and faculty

Site Elements

- These standards must be specific and prescriptive
- All site elements must be uniform across all campuses
- Example area plans might be a good idea to show placement of trash receptacles and bike loops, etc. in relation to pedestrians, building connections and programs
- It is important to think of sustainable materials

If this report does not agree with your records or understanding of this meeting, or if there are any questions, please advise the writer immediately in writing; otherwise, we will assume the comments to be correct.

 $P: 126472 \ |\ JONAdmin\ Meetings\ |\ Kick-Off_2009-04-06\ |\ Meeting\ Minutes\ |\ 12009-04-08_SG-JJR_Design\ Guidelines. docx and the support of the supp$

Distribution: DRAFT INTERNAL ONLY